

Pupil Premium Strategy Statement

1. Summary information	on						
School	Bredon Hill Academy						
Academic Year	2018/19	Total PP budget	£48,125	Date of most recent PP Re	March 2019		
Total number of pupils	486 (Sept 18)	Number of pupils eligible for PP	54	Date for next internal revie	July 2019		
2. Current attainment -	- Year 6 201	7/2018					
				Pupils eligible for PP (All Pupils)	Pupils not eligible foi (National Av		
% reaching expected standard in reading, writing and maths		20%		70%			
% reaching expected standard in reading		60%		80%			
% reaching expected standard in writing (TA)		47%		83%			
% reaching expected standard in maths		aths	27%		81%		
Current attainment – Ye	ar 8 2017/20	18					
				Pupils eligible for PP ntry – Exit (All Pupils)	Pupils not eligible for Entry –> Exit (Sch		
% reaching expected standard in reading		ading	78% - 100%		88% - 98%		
% reaching expected standard in writing		iting	78% - 83%		79% - 88%		
% reaching expected sta	andard in ma	aths	50% - 86%		65% - 96%		

Acad	lemic barriers						
Α.	Social and Emotional Issues - Self esteem is low for students. Confidence is lacking in students, they are reluctant to engage with additional learning opportunities and need further support to deal with personal issues.						
В.	There are a significant number of PP students (16) with a reading age below their chronoligical age. 25% of students at BHA have a reading age below their chronoligical age, and 34.8% of PP students have a reading age below their chronological age.						
C.		Spelling age for students is lower for PP compared to non-PP students. In year 7 35% of the year group have a spelling age below their chronological age and 55% of PP students have a spelling age below their chronological age. In year 8, 45% of students have a spelling age below their chronological age.					
D.	Enrichment opportunites outside of school for the more able PP students are limited. Access to inspiration	onal trips/talks/events need to increase.					
E.	A core number of our PP students (20%) have significant weakness in maths. In Year 6 24% of the year group are working below their age related expectation and 35% of PP students, In Year 7 5% of all students and 7% of PP students. In Year 8 4% of all students and 14% of PP students. Basic maths skills need developing before students can confidently access the maths curriculum.						
Addi	tional barriers						
F.	Home engagement with a minority of parents needs to be further promoted.						
G.	Attendance rates for PP students are below the school's average (2017 – 2018 PP = 93.62%, NPP = 96. learning. 10 PP students last year had attendance lower than 90%.	.2%). This reduces their hours in school, causes them to fall behind on thei					
4. Ir	ntended Outcomes						
	Specific Outcomes	Success Criteria					
Α.	Develop confidence and self-esteem through SEL (Social & Emotional Learning) interventions. These include elevenses social intervention club, pupil support course and afternoon tea intervention. Measured through attendance to sessions, assessment on engagement by staff (pupil profiles reviews etc.), and academic progress/attainment over the year.	Students participating in these interventions will engage more with other students and teachers/Teaching Assistants. This will have a positive impact on their academic attainment, shown through improved progress and attitude to learning grades and higher attainment grades across the curriculum at the end of the academic year.					
В.	Increase reading ages for all students in all years. Measured through Lexia programme, precision reading (year 6) and through the Fresh Start programme for the extremely weak students in year 6. Purchase of Kindle's for low ability PP readers to engage them in reading accelerated learning books. Monitoring through use in reading activities, paired reading with PHHS sixth formers and BHA year 8 students.	PP students will have closed the gap to their peers by the next reading age screening. Evidence from Lexia and the Fresh Start programmes will document progress being made over the year. Students will develop a thirst for reading and use the Kindle to access age related/accessible books.					
C.	Spelling ages to be increased for PP students, closing the gap to their peers. This will be measured through assessments in English over the year and using data from Lexia and Fresh Start programmes. Re-testing at the start of the next academic year will show progress and a closing of the gap to peer and age average.	Students will have an increased bank of words allowing them to access more of the curriculum and engage in deeper converstaion, in turn allowing them to understand and work at higher levels. Academic progress and attainment will increase over the year. Tests for students next year will show that the gap has closed for PP students.					
D.	Students will have access to more opportunities to enrich their education outside of school on trips and visits. Particular focus will be ensuring that the more able PP students are given equal opportunities to those who are under performing.	More able PP students will see higher progress and attitude to learning grades. Academic attainment will also be improved.					

E.	Parental engagement of pupil premium students will improve by the end of the year using SeeSaw application on a tablet. There will be an increase in attendance to parents evening and other events at school. An increase in dialogue between the parent and school (via office/SLT/form tutor) will be seen. Students will report that they are more supported at home by parents (possible PASS survey results?). Use of social media to engage with parents, providing support as a starting point for improving communication.	Students will be more supported at home and therefore have increased engagement at school. Homework becomes less of an issue as parents can support their child. Through increased engagement and communication with PP parents using the SeeSaw app, any issues or concerns can be dealt with by the school and the parents by working together.
F.	Use information from PASS survey to identify any particular areas for development with regards to pupil welfare and attitudes to school and self. This will be address through the pastoral mentoring programme with form tutors.	Results from PASS survey to be shared with staff, interventions to be created based on outcomes and these to be reviewed termly. Results from PASS surveys over time, show a change in perceptions and feelings towards school. This will be monitored yearly.
G.	Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP. Half termly reports on PP attendance to be created by DJW/JH, and individuals falling below to be monitored and followed up. Weekly attendance meetings set up with JH and low attenders to build awareness of attendance to promote improved attendance in school.	Improved attendance figures for PP students. Target group attendance improves over each half term. Students with the lowest attendance see improvements in progress and attainment due to increased amount of time in the classroom.

5. Review of expenditure	
Previous Academic Year	2017 – 2018
Spending overview	
Quality of teaching for all:	
	ng Assistants where they are best needed. This does not always cater for all PP students in lessons. However, the assroom to support PP students is always there. Mentoring, HW support, and general monitoring of PP students aroughout the academic year.
86% of the PP budget was spent on TA	salaries, making this a significant investment in supporting disadvantaged students.
	ughout the year as part of the 15 minute forum sessions. Sessions have focused on differentiation, interleaved earning (Erasmus+). Specific actions plans for KS2 English and Maths have also focused on how all students are
Termly academic reviews with all subjec any identified students are supported as	t leaders analyse and focus on PP students and the progress they are making. This has been effective in ensuring quickly as possible.
Targeted Support:	
The first-class @ number intervention wa include more students from across the s	as very successful and produced some very positive results. This intervention has been adapted from last year to chool in need of this support.
	e purchased and are still being used to support a group of boys who need support with their reading. This This This support package will continue next year.
	Pupil Attitudes to School and Self). The data was shared with year leaders and form tutors who met with pupils to urvey. Students results have been compared to last year and year leaders have worked with their pastoral teams to ring programme.
successful at building students' confident outcomes over the academic year. The o	a pyramid club intervention that took place after school on a Tuesday in the summer term. The project was ce and developing student's social skills. This helped improve behaviour in lessons and improved student's change of time improved attendance, and parental participation, but limited the impact with year 6 students as it ggestions for next year are to invite year 5 PP students to the pyramid club in the summer term as part of their

We had some targeted support for PP students who are Dyslexic. An external agency worked with a student on a 1:1 basis each term. Progress has been monitored across their work and engagement in lessons.

A PP craft club has taken place at a lunch time, run by a TA. Funding was allocated for resources to allow students to complete a range of different craft activities. Students have been able to share their work with families and a display board has been completed in the school to showcase their work.

Other approaches:

In order to ensure there was equity with the PP students, 3% of the PP budget was spent on trips, uniform, access to the arts and leavers materials.

Support for the trips meant that students had access to the external learning and enrichment opportunities other students had. Access to the arts was provided through paying for instrumental lessons. Leavers' materials for year 8 students ensured that all students leaving BHA had a leavers hoody and year book.

Academic year	2018 - 19							
The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.								
i. Quality of teaching for all								
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead & costs	When will you review implementation?			
A. Improved use of Teacher Assistant deployment in lessons to support progress of PP students.	Teacher/Teacher Assistant training delivered through SENCo and SENCOM Meetings.	Use of research provided by EEF (Making Best Use of Teaching Assistants Spring 2015) to ensure Teaching Assistants in the classroom have a positive impact on student progress. The focus is to make a shift from the traditional deployment of Teaching Assistants who provide intervention with individuals to give PP students more time with their teacher.	SENCo delivery to staff in SENCOM meeting. Observation focus for both staff with Teaching Assistants and Teaching Assistants themselves. Review and feedback cycle through teacher meetings and through Teacher Assistant meetings – sharing best practice.	ST, meeting time & staffing costs	Jul '19			
B. Improved reading ages.	T&L lead and English subject lead to deliver CPD to staff on strategies to support reading across the curriculum and how to engage and develop learners with a low reading age.	A significant number of students are arriving in year 6 with reading ages well below average. This stops them from accessing the curriculum. Reading interventions are limited and shouldn't be given as the only means to improve a student's reading age. All staff have a responsibility to ensure students can access the lesson content but need support in ways to do this. Lexia is a programme that is proven to raise students reading ages quickly. It has proven successful with our students and will continue	T&L lead to develop and deliver appropriate strategies and to ensure these are embedded through use of the coaching system and peer/subject reviews and observations.	MEJ, meeting time, observatio n time & staffing costs MD, £1300 programm	After PD cycle 2 July '19			
	Lexia programme delivered by a TA for targeted students	to be offered to students.		e cost plus staffing				
i. Targeted sup	_			01-55	1			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead & costs	When will you review implementation?			

A. Improve reading ages for students in year 6	Kindle book subscription.	Students who have a very low reading age are embarrassed about the types of books they read, so very often are reluctant to read in class. A kindle will disguise the book they are reading. It also gives staff control over the books they access (making them appropriate for their level of ability).	Students provided with kindles will be on the paired reading programme. Staff responsible for the paired reading programme will monitor their use and ensure relevant and appropriate books are download to them.	MEJ/ST, £108 subscriptio n plus staffing costs	Half Termly
	Fresh Start Reading Development Project	Research and review of success in others schools across the country. Fresh Start is a well-known programme for improving reading ages. Progress of students on this programme last year was exceptional.	SENCo will monitor the impact of reading over time, along with Lexia co-ordinator to track improvements in reading age.	ST/MD £116 per pupil per year plus meeting time,	Half Termly
B. Improve strategies for PP student with Dyslexia	One to one support from a Dyslexia specialist.	Targeting students on an individual basis allows us to cater for the specific needs each child has with their dyslexia. Staff working with students can then apply their personalised strategies in the classroom to aid progress in all subject areas.	Personalised reports from the Dyslexia specialist each half term will allow us to monitor the progress. A baseline report will allow us to benchmark each individual and measure progress.	ST, £1320 plus meeting time, staffing costs	Jan '19
C. To identify possible barriers to learning amongst our students that we may not be fully aware of.	Students will complete a 20 minute Pupil Attitudes to School Survey (PASS) survey.	A survey focused on pupil's attitudes to self and school will allow us to understand what our students think about school. It will also show any areas for development that can be added to this action plan to improve student attainment.	Students will complete the PASS survey and the data will be analysed and shared with staff. Strategies can then be developed to address any emerging areas of concern.	CM, £1850, (£265 proportiona Ily), meeting time.	July '19
D. To improve student engagement in learning and to build confidence and self-esteem.	Implement a pyramid club to be run for a small group of students (8-10) for 1.5 hours per week. Each group will run for 10	Targeting students for after school support allows us to work with specific students, and their parents, to design a bespoke programme to help them engage with school and develop their confidence and self-esteem.	Two Teaching Assistants will be responsible for the project and will review each session and overall course. Students will be monitored in school to measure impact.	MD, staffing costs	July '19
E. Use of Teaching Assistants to support social and emotional development of students.	Targeted intervention through Elevenses Club, and Afternoon Tea intervention.	A number of students from disaffected backgrounds struggle to socialise and integrate with their peers due a lack of skills. As a result of this, behaviour is poor and attendance is low as students do not want to come into school.	Registers for those taking part will be monitored. Progress of students will be monitored and social development within their peer groups will be monitored.	Teaching Assistants, meeting time, staffing costs	July '19

F. Improved reading ages	Lexia programme delivered by a TA for targeted students.	Lexia is a programme that is proven to raise students reading ages quickly. It has proven successful with our students and will continue to be offered to students.	Lexia provided detail reports of students. These can be access regularly to track student progress over time.	MD (costs detailed in section (i)	July '19
		Total budgeted cost (0	Quality of Teaching for all and Targeted	d Support)	£44,925
ii. Other approa	ches				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approac h	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead & costs	When will you review implementation?
A. Improved engagement in learning and new learning experiences	Funding to support PP students to access school trips and visits over the course of the year,	Ensuring that students' education is equitable. All students should have access to the same opportunities	Monitoring of PP requests to support PP students. Ensuring systems are in place to support parents who may have financial difficulties in providing for their child.	APJ £2300	July '19
B. Improved participation in Arts activities and experiences	Identify students who show an interest in wanting to participate in an Arts related activity. Funding to be provided to support these students with the opportunity.	Equity – ensuring all students have access to all opportunities that will enrich their educational experience.	Targeting students and getting the best opportunity for the individual. Reviewing progress of the individual through dialogue with the student.	APJ £900	July '19
			Total budgeted cost (Other Ap	proaches)	£3,200
			Total budg	geted cost	£48,125